Cationic agent contrast-enhanced computed tomography imaging of cartilage correlates with the compressive modulus and coefficient of friction
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Abstract

Objective: The aim of this study is to evaluate whether contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CECT) attenuation, using a cationic contrast agent (CA4+), correlates with the equilibrium compressive modulus (E) and coefficient of friction (μ) of ex vivo bovine articular cartilage.

Methods: Correlations between CECT attenuation and E (Group 1, n = 12) and μ (Group 2, n = 10) were determined using 7 mm diameter bovine osteochondral plugs from the stifle joints of six freshly slaughtered, skeletally mature cows. The equilibrium compressive modulus was measured using a four-step, unconfined, compressive stress-relaxation test, and the coefficients of friction were determined from a torsional friction test. Following mechanical testing, samples were immersed in CA4+, imaged using iCT, rinsed, and analyzed for glycosaminoglycan (GAG) content using the 1,9-dimethylmethylene blue (DMMB) assay.

Results: The CECT attenuation was positively correlated with the GAG content of bovine cartilage ($R^2 = 0.87$, $P < 0.0001$ for Group 1 and $R^2 = 0.74$, $P = 0.001$ for Group 2). Strong and significant positive correlations were observed between E and GAG content ($R^2 = 0.90$, $P < 0.0001$) as well as CECT attenuation and E ($R^2 = 0.90$, $P < 0.0001$). The CECT attenuation was negatively correlated with the three coefficients of friction: CECT vs $\mu_{\text{static}}$ ($R^2 = 0.71$, $P = 0.002$), CECT vs $\mu_{\text{static_equilibrium}}$ ($R^2 = 0.79$, $P < 0.001$), and CECT vs $\mu_{\text{kinetic}}$ ($R^2 = 0.69$, $P = 0.003$).

Conclusions: CECT with CA4+ is a useful tool for determining the mechanical properties of ex vivo cartilage tissue as the attenuation significantly correlates with the compressive modulus and coefficient of friction.

© 2012 Osteoarthritis Research Society International. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Articular cartilage is the soft, hydrated tissue located at the ends of long bones, serving to distribute load while reducing friction and wear during joint articulation. Comprised primarily of collagen type II, proteoglycans, chondrocytes, and water, articular cartilage’s composition and structure directly affect its mechanical properties and function. The extracellular matrix (ECM) of cartilage confers its resistance to compressive loads and enhanced lubricating abilities by providing a porous structure that regulates and retains water. The collagen matrix, a crucial component of the cartilage ECM, is specifically oriented throughout the tissue, permitting it to resist tensile stresses, prevent the expansion of the ECM during compression, and resist shear stresses at the articular surface. The proteoglycans, principally located in the middle and deep zones, consist of a protein core with many attached glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) that also influence the mechanical properties of cartilage. These negatively-charged GAGs repel each other and form non-covalent interactions with water affording a swelling pressure in the ECM that contributes to compressive stiffness and lubrication between cartilage surfaces. Articular cartilage chondrocytes are responsible for the maintenance and homeostasis of the cartilage ECM and thus its biological and mechanical function.
cells have different size, shape, orientation, and biosynthetic activity in the different zones of articular cartilage. In the superficial zone, the cells are flattened and parallel to the articular surface, and primarily synthesize collagen. Chondrocytes in the middle and deep zones are rounder, have more synthetic activity, and tend to synthesize more proteoglycan and larger collagen fibrils. Deep zone chondrocytes are often arranged in columns perpendicular to the articular cartilage surface. Healthy cartilage maintains a balanced process of synthesis and degradation with an intact ECM, however, during osteoarthritis (OA), this balance is disturbed and matrix degradation prevails. OA is a multifactorial disease which manifests in the clinic at its later stages as patient pain and reduced mobility due to cartilage thinning, lesions, osteophytes, and synovial inflammation. Although the precise timing of these biological events in sequence is being actively investigated, loss of GAG, or the fixed negative charge content, is a key event prior to advanced OA along with loss or alteration of other biochemical markers like denatured collagen or aggrecan. Cartilage lesions arise from the loss of GAGs and disruption of the collagen network, although the precise timing of these biological events in sequence is being actively investigated. In vitro studies have established that cartilage samples with reduced GAG content or the degree to which GAG quantification can be used as an indicator of early-stage OA. For example, Stubendorff et al. have recently reported no difference between GAG content in cartilage samples taken from OA and healthy patients. Importantly, many studies have established that cartilage samples with reduced GAG content and/or degraded collagen matrix have increased tissue pore size, hydraulic permeability, and water content as well as alteration of the organized matrix structure. These biological and physical changes lead to reduced mechanical integrity of the cartilage and diminished lubrication in the joint, resulting in accelerated wear and cartilage degradation.

Consequently, characterizing and understanding the biomechanical properties of cartilage is important from both a basic science and clinical perspective, and various mechanical testing regimens have been developed. These approaches typically use either excised cartilage disks or osteochondral plugs cored from various joint surfaces. Unconfined compressive stress-relaxation tests using osteochondral plugs allow facile computation of the equilibrium compressive modulus (E) of cartilage by fitting a line to the resulting equilibrium stress-strain data. Additionally, torsional friction tests consisting of static compression followed by relaxation and then rotation are used for evaluating coefficients of friction (μ) of cartilage. Even though both tests are non-destructive and allow for subsequent evaluation of the same samples, additional minimally-invasive methods to quantify cartilage mechanics are highly desired, especially imaging-based techniques that can be used in pre-clinical animal or clinical studies.

Several quantitative imaging methods are being developed to evaluate biochemical changes in cartilage, specifically GAG content changes. Most of these techniques indirectly determine changes in the GAG content of cartilage tissue utilizing an anionic contrast agent probe that partitions in inverse proportion to the GAG content of the cartilage matrix. For example, Gadopentetic acid (charge -2) is used for delayed gadolinium-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging of cartilage (dGEMRIC) while ioxaglate (charge -1) and iohexol (charge -1) are used for contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CECT) imaging of cartilage. dGEMRIC can quantify changes in GAG content in both in vitro and in vivo models. In this technique, the changes in MRI T1 relaxation time in the presence of GdDTPA reflect variations in both the structure and composition of the cartilage ECM, including GAGs. Similarly for CECT, changes in the X-ray attenuation of cartilage in the presence of ioxaglate or iothalamate can be used to quantify the GAG content of normal as well as degraded articular cartilage. Additionally, CECT attenuation of bovine cartilage plugs, obtained using iothalamate at high concentrations, was correlated to the compressive modulus, thus providing motivation to further explore this technique for the assessment of cartilage tissue mechanical properties.

Previously, CECT using a novel cationic contrast agent (CA4+) was reported as a sensitive technique for monitoring changes in cartilage GAG content and distribution at considerably lower concentrations than anionic contrast agents. Since cartilage biomechanical properties are related to GAG content, we hypothesize that x-ray attenuations obtained from CECT imaging of cartilage using CA4+ will correlate with two important articular cartilage biomechanical properties: compressive modulus and coefficient of friction. Therefore, the aim of this study was to test this hypothesis, and herein, we describe the strong positive correlation between CECT attenuation and compressive modulus, as well as the strong negative correlation between CECT attenuation and coefficient of friction in a bovine osteochondral plug model.

Methods

Material/specimen preparation

Twenty-two osteochondral plugs (7 mm diameter) were cored from the stifle joints of six freshly slaughtered, skeletally mature cows using a diamond-tipped cylindrical cutter, irrigated with 0.9% saline at room temperature. Twelve plugs from the femoral condyles were used to test for a correlation between CECT attenuation and E (Group 1). Five of these plugs were degraded using Chondroitinase ABC (Sigma C3667, St. Louis, MO) [0.1 U/mL in 50 mM Tris, 60 mM NaOAc, 0.02% bovine serum albumin (BSA), pH 8.0] at 37°C for 24 h. The degraded plugs were then rinsed twice for 4 h each in 10 mL of saline at room temperature before a final rinse overnight in 10 mL of saline at 4°C to ensure any remaining Chondroitinase ABC was removed. A separate ten plugs were harvested from the tibial, femoral, and patellar surfaces [Fig. 1(A)] to test for a correlation between CECT attenuation and μ (Group 2). All the samples were then frozen at -20°C in 0.9% saline with protease inhibitors, antibiotics, and antimiycotics for later use. GIBCO Anti-Anti stock solution (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY), 5 mM of Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) (Sigma, St. Louis, MO), and benzamidine HCI (Sigma B6506, St. Louis, MO) were included in all the solutions that were exposed to the cartilage to prevent nonspecific degradation of the cartilage during the study.

Compressive modulus (E) and coefficient of friction (μ) testing

The samples in both groups were evaluated using similar mechanical testing procedures. Briefly, a pre-load was applied to establish complete contact between each sample’s surface and a polished aluminum plate [Fig. 1(B)]. While immersed in saline, each sample was compressed using a 4-step unconstrained stress-relaxation regimen consisting of four 5% strain steps at a displacement rate of 0.005 mm/s (Enduratec 3230, BOSE, Eden Prairie, MN), each followed by a 45-min relaxation period. For Group 1, E was then computed by fitting a linear regression line to the resulting equilibrium stress-strain data. The samples in Group 2 were rotated 720° at 5/s (effective velocity of 0.3 mm/s) immediately following the last stress-relaxation period. The compressive force, torque, displacement, and rotational data were collected at a sampling rate of 10 Hz. We computed three torsional coefficients...
of friction ($\mu$) representing the performance of articular cartilage\textsuperscript{38} using the equation $\mu = T/(RN)$, where $T$ is the torque, $N$ is the normal force, and $R$ is radius of the sample. Specifically, we calculated:

- $\mu_{\text{static}}$: the maximum value of $\mu$ for the first 10 degrees of rotation.
- $\mu_{\text{static equilibrium}}$: computed using the maximum value of $T$ from the first 10 degrees of rotation and the normal force as the force at the end of the last relaxation period.
- $\mu_{\text{kinetic}}$: the average value of $\mu$ during the second revolution.

CA4\textsuperscript{+} contrast agent solution

CA4\textsuperscript{+} was synthesized as previously reported\textsuperscript{57}. The contrast agent solution was prepared by dissolving the dry compound in deionized water, balancing the pH to 7.4 using concentrated 4.0 M NaOH and adjusting the osmolality to 400 mOsm/kg using sodium chloride to match the in situ osmolality of articular cartilage (350–450 mOsm/kg\textsuperscript{58}). Similarly to the preparation of saline, protease inhibitors, antibiotics, and antimycotics were added before plug immersion.

CECT imaging

Following mechanical testing for both groups, the plugs recovered in saline for at least 12 h at 4°C prior to exposure to the contrast agent. Each sample was then immersed in a 0.9 mL solution of the CA4\textsuperscript{+} contrast agent at 12 mg/mL for 24 h at room temperature. Following immersion, each sample was gently blotted to remove excess contrast agent, and the plugs were positioned in a µCT imaging system (µCT40, Scanco Medical AG, Bruttisellen, Switzerland) using a custom, airtight holder that maintained a humid environment to prevent drying of the cartilage. Sequential transaxial µCT images of the cartilage and subchondral bone were acquired at an isotropic voxel resolution of 36 $\mu$m\textsuperscript{3}, 70-kVP tube voltage, 113-µAmp current, and 300-ms integration time for all samples. The µCT data were converted to DICOM format using the proprietary software from Scanco Medical before being imported for post-processing using Analyze\textsuperscript{TM} (BIR, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN). The cartilage was segmented from the subchondral bone using a semi-automatic, threshold-based algorithm. To perform accurate cartilage segmentation, multiple techniques, such as thresholding and component labeling, were utilized. The mean CECT attenuation value for each cartilage sample was obtained by averaging the X-ray attenuation over all transaxial µCT images corresponding to cartilage tissue and is reported in this study as grayscale intensities in the Hounsfield Units (HU).

Biochemical assay

Each plug was immersed in saline at 4°C for 24 h to wash out the contrast agent before the cartilage was carefully excised from the subchondral bone using a scalpel. Care was taken to remove all of the cartilage tissue, including the entire deep zone, and the wet mass of the cartilage was obtained. Following lyophilization for 24 h, the dry weight of each sample was also measured, and the samples were digested in papain (0.5 mg/mL in a buffer solution of 50 mM sodium phosphate, 5 mM EDTA, 2 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), pH 6.8) at 65°C for 24 h. The GAG content of each cartilage sample was determined using the 1,9-dimethylmethylene blue (DMMB)
colorimetric assay. Briefly, each cartilage digestion solution was diluted 40–60 times for the assay. To convert from absorbance to GAG content, a linear calibration curve was generated using chondroitin-4-sulfate (Sigma 27042, St. Louis, MO) dissolved in the same buffer as above at concentrations ranging from 10 to 100 µg/mL. Ten microliters of each chondroitin-4-sulfate calibration solution and each diluted sample digestion solution were separately combined with 100 µL of DMMB dye solution in a 96-well plate. The absorbance of each resulting solution at 520 nm was measured in triplicate using a plate reader (Beckman Coulter AD340, Fullerton, CA). The total GAG mass of each sample was calculated using the calibration curve and normalized to total mg of GAG per mg wet weight of the cartilage for each sample.

**Statistics**

Univariate linear regression analysis (SPSS 17.0, Chicago, IL) was applied to evaluate whether the CECT attenuation correlated with the GAG content in both groups. Similarly, for Groups 1 and 2, the correlations between E and GAG content, between CECT attenuation and E, and between CECT attenuation and each of the three µ values were evaluated using univariate linear regression models. The coefficient of determination ($R^2$) was used to assess the strength of the correlations. Significance level was set as two-tailed $P$-value < 0.05.

**Results**

**CECT vs GAG content (Groups 1 and 2)**

The CA4+ enhanced CT attenuation and GAG content for the samples tested for compressive modulus (Group 1, [Fig. 2(A)]) and those tested for coefficient of friction (Group 2; [Fig. 2(B)]) were strongly and significantly correlated with each other: $R^2 = 0.87$, $P < 0.0001$ and $R^2 = 0.74$, $P = 0.001$, respectively. Color maps of samples from Group 2 with low [Fig. 2(C)] and high [Fig. 2(D)] GAG content illustrate the differences in GAG contents measured with CECT.

**CECT vs E (Group 1)**

Strong positive correlations were observed between E and GAG content as well as between CECT attenuation and E: E vs GAG ($R^2 = 0.90$, $P < 0.0001$) [Fig. 3(A)] and CECT vs E ($R^2 = 0.90$, $P < 0.0001$) [Fig. 3(B)].

**Discussion**

Additionally, the CA4+ enhanced CT attenuation was negatively correlated with the three coefficients of friction, accounting for up to 79% of the variation in $\mu$ (Fig. 4): CECT vs $\mu_{\text{static}}$ ($R^2 = 0.71$, $P = 0.002$), CECT vs $\mu_{\text{static_equilibrium}}$ ($R^2 = 0.79$, $P < 0.001$), and CECT vs $\mu_{\text{kinetic}}$ ($R^2 = 0.69$, $P = 0.003$). These correlations were similar to those achieved when comparing GAG content to the three coefficients of friction (Fig. 5), with the correlation strength being ~ 30% lower for $\mu_{\text{kinetic}}$ ($R^2 = 0.49$ vs $R^2 = 0.69$). To evaluate the effect of the cartilage superficial tangential zone (STZ) on the frictional properties, we excluded the CECT attenuation of the STZ and correlated the resulting CECT attenuation with the coefficients of friction (Fig. 6). A small percent decrease in the $R^2$ values ($\Delta R^2$) was observed, but the resulting correlations were still statistically significant for $\mu_{\text{static}}$ ($\Delta R^2 = -2.2\%$, $P = 0.003$ for resulting correlation), $\mu_{\text{static_equilibrium}}$ ($\Delta R^2 = -3.5\%$, $P = 0.001$) and $\mu_{\text{kinetic}}$ ($\Delta R^2 = -3.7\%$, $P = 0.005$).

![Fig. 2. Correlations between CECT attenuation (HU) and GAG content (mg/mg) of cartilage samples for (A) CECT vs E samples (Group 1, unfilled data points indicate degraded samples) and (B) CECT vs µ samples (Group 2). Both correlations were strong (coefficients of variation greater than or equal to 0.74) and statistically significant ($P \leq 0.001$). Color maps of representative, non-degraded samples with (C) low (2.86%) and (D) high (4.88%) GAG contents.](image-url)
study is greater than that reported by Bansal et al.34 (slope = −856), indicating an increased sensitivity to changes in compressive modulus compared to the anionic contrast agent. Furthermore, the results obtained with the CA4⁺ were achieved with a smaller sample size (n = 12 vs n = 30 for CECT vs GAG and n = 12 vs n = 15 for E vs GAG and CECT vs E) and a considerably lower concentration of contrast agent (12 vs 81 mgI/mL). With the CA4⁺, the electrostatic attraction between the contrast agent and the negatively-charged GAGs results in high contrast agent uptake in cartilage49, a positive linear correlation between CECT attenuation and both GAG content and E, and a steeper slope for the correlation between CECT and E.

Although there are no reports of the correlation between CECT attenuation and cartilage coefficient of friction, previous studies have shown that cartilage GAG content affects its frictional properties. GAGs contribute to the frictional performance of cartilage through both hydrostatic and elastohydrodynamic lubrication. Hydrostatic lubrication typically occurs at the onset of loading and for a prolonged period thereafter, during which the cartilage interstitial fluid becomes pressurized and supports most of the load transmitted across the contact interface. On the other hand, elastohydrodynamic lubrication occurs as the cartilage ECM is further compressed during motion, during which the cartilage interstitial fluid becomes increasingly pressurized and more of the fluid is exuded at the tissue interface. When the interstitial water is pressurized, the frictional load of the collagen-proteoglycan matrix is considerably reduced, resulting in a lower μ. Since GAGs bind water in cartilage, contributing to the interstitial fluid pressure, the GAG content of cartilage affects frictional performance, and this was confirmed by Basalo et al., who showed that the depletion of GAGs from cartilage results in increased μ. Additionally, the same group also demonstrated that removal of the superficial tangential layer of cartilage did not increase μ, indicating that the frictional response of cartilage is not limited to a surface phenomenon, rather it is also affected by the GAG content deeper in the tissue.

As shown in Figs. 4 and 5, both the CECT attenuation and GAG content were strongly and significantly correlated with the three torsional coefficients of friction. The μ_{static} values ranged from 0.05 to 0.4, μ_{static, equilibrium} values ranged from 0.1 to 0.35, and μ_{kinetic} values ranged from 0.05 to 0.2, with GAG contents from 1 to 5% and CECT attenuation from 1,000 to 2,000 HU. The discrepancy in correlation strengths for the CECT vs μ_{kinetic} and GAG vs μ_{kinetic} plots (R² = 0.69 vs R² = 0.49, respectively) may reflect that frictional properties are influenced by more than GAG. Other factors, such as permeability, could affect both the diffusion of the contrast agent into the cartilage tissue and elastohydrodynamic lubrication. Thus, GAG content may not be the only contributor influencing cartilage frictional performance, especially as the tissue is continually deformed during the μ_{kinetic} testing. Since structural changes are associated with GAG loss in cartilage during OA, future studies are planned to investigate the effect of permeability and collagen content on cartilage biomechanical properties using CECT.

Next, the effect of excluding the STZ of cartilage on the three correlations between CECT attenuation and frictional coefficients

![Fig. 3. Correlations between (A) equilibrium compressive modulus (E) (MPa) and GAG content and (B) CECT attenuation (HU) and equilibrium compressive modulus (E) (MPa) for Group 1 (unfilled data points indicate degraded samples). Both correlations were strong (coefficients of variation equal to 0.90) and statistically significant (P < 0.0001).](image)

![Fig. 4. Correlations between CECT attenuation (HU) and three different experimentally-determined coefficients of friction (μ): (A) μ_{static}, (B) μ_{static, equilibrium}, (C) μ_{kinetic} for Group 2.](image)
was investigated. This was predicated on the Krishnan et al. report that demonstrated that removal of the superficial layer did not increase $\mu^{60}$, indicating that the frictional response of cartilage is not limited to a surface phenomenon, rather it is also affected by the GAG content deeper in the tissue. Since this was demonstrated by performing frictional tests, removing the STZ layer with a microtome, and re-testing, which required altering the tissue, we sought to examine if a similar result could be obtained non-destructively by removing the STZ layer via image processing. We excluded the 10% of the CECT image slices closest to the articular surface and repeated the regression analyses. The effect of removing the STZ voxels was minimal (Fig. 6), affording a small percent decrease in the $R^2$ values ($\Delta R^2$), but the resulting correlations were still significant for $\mu_{\text{static}} (\Delta R^2 = -2.2\%, P = 0.003$ for resulting correlation), $\mu_{\text{static_equilibrium}} (\Delta R^2 = -3.5\%, P = 0.001)$ and $\mu_{\text{kinetic}} (\Delta R^2 = -3.7\%, P = 0.005)$. This result corroborates the fact that frictional properties are not purely a surface phenomenon. Although current clinical CT scanners do not have sufficient resolution to examine only the STZ layer of cartilage, the scanners do have enough resolution to examine the cartilage tissue as a whole, which as we have shown in an ex vivo setting is sufficient for correlating cartilage frictional performance to CECT attenuation.

The slight discrepancy in correlation strength between the two CECT vs GAG correlations [Fig. 2(A and B)] is likely due to the way the samples were selected and prepared. For comparing CECT attenuation to compressive modulus (Group 1), the samples were selected from the same surface from multiple knees and then five of them were degraded using Chondroitinase ABC to selectively cleave GAG. This procedure was selected to enable comparison to previous studies comparing CECT attenuation and GAG content to compressive modulus. Degrading the samples to obtain a more continuous range of GAG content rather than selecting intact tissue samples is likely to improve the correlation strength for the CECT vs GAG data for Group 1. The samples used to compare CECT attenuation to $\mu$ (Group 2) were selected from different surfaces in multiple knees to generate a sample set with varying GAG content without enzymatic degradation. This approach for obtaining a series of samples with different GAG contents was chosen, as opposed to using Chondroitinase ABC, to minimize any possible surface alterations that could be introduced with degradation via Chondroitinase ABC, as it has been shown that the degradation process begins at the articular surface and progresses deeper into the tissue$^{34}$.

In this study, the samples were compressed against an aluminum platen, which does not represent the in vivo conditions whereby two opposing cartilage surfaces are loaded against each other. However, previous studies have demonstrated reliable compressive modulus measurements using unconfined compression$^{35,36}$ and frictional testing$^{75,66}$ against non-biological surfaces (e.g., metal or glass). These results are also similar to those reported when two cartilage surfaces are tested against each other$^{34,38}$. The frictional samples were subjected to a four-step compression before torsional loading to measure the coefficient of friction. This loading regimen allows sufficient time for the interstitial fluid to depressurize, which could affect the frictional performance of the samples. However, the samples supported loads typical of what we observe at the end of a shorter compression regimen$^{67}$, indicating that the interstitial fluid is likely still pressurized at the end of the

---

**Fig. 5.** Correlations between GAG content of each sample and three different experimentally-determined coefficients of friction ($\mu$): (A) $\mu_{\text{static}}$, (B) $\mu_{\text{static_equilibrium}}$, (C) $\mu_{\text{kinetic}}$ for Group 2.

**Fig. 6.** Correlations between CECT attenuation (HU) excluding the STZ of each sample and three different experimentally-determined coefficients of friction ($\mu$): (A) $\mu_{\text{static}}$, (B) $\mu_{\text{static_equilibrium}}$, (C) $\mu_{\text{kinetic}}$ for Group 2.
45-min dwell after the fourth step. Additionally, the normal force increased during the rotations as the tissue was further deformed, indicating a further pressurization of the interstitial fluid\textsuperscript{56}. All the samples were also mechanically tested in saline, which is not the native environment of cartilage. Synovial fluid contains various biomacromolecules that function as boundary lubricants that affect the frictional performance of cartilage\textsuperscript{68,69}. Since our goal was to isolate the effects of hydrostatic and elastohydrodynamic lubrication, which are linked with GAG content\textsuperscript{56}, saline was used to prevent confounding the results from the presence of these lubricants. However, we are now in a position to look at the effects of lubricants on $\mu_e$, E, and GAG degradation in future studies.

In OA, cartilage progressively breaks down, resulting in a loss of proteoglycans, increased hydration, and fibrillation of the ECM\textsuperscript{12}. As a result of these compositional alterations, the biomechanical performance of osteoarthritic cartilage reduces affecting the functionality of the tissue. Since CECT attenuation has been shown to correlate with GAG content\textsuperscript{44,49–56}, compressive modulus\textsuperscript{34} (and shown here), and now, for the first time, with frictional performance, CECT is a valuable tool for determining not only the GAG content of the cartilage tissue, but also its overall mechanical integrity. Additionally, a recent study demonstrated that CA4+ remains in the knee cartilage of an in vivo rabbit model for up to 2 h and is eliminated from the cartilage and joint space within 24 h; thus indicating CA4+ may be suitable for evaluating cartilage in animal OA models\textsuperscript{70}. Since the subchondral bone is thought to play a role in OA\textsuperscript{71–73}, CECT can evaluate both cartilage and bone tissue, leading to a more thorough monitoring and diagnosis of the disease. Future studies using healthy and osteoarthritic human cartilage tissue are planned to validate our findings and further challenge this cationic CECT imaging technique for the assessment of overall cartilage health.
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